

Ranking Pool: Accelerating the Pace of Conservation in SNEHF

Program:RCPP-EQIPPool Status:ActiveTemplate:RCPP-EQIPTemplate Status:Active

Last Modified By: Brunilda Velez Last Modified: 06-01-2020

Report Date: 06-01-2020

Land Uses

| Land Use | Modifier 1 | Modifier 2 | Modifier 3 | Modifier 4 | Modifier 5 | Modifier 6 |
|--------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Forest | | | | | | |
| Associated Ag Land | | | | | | |

Resource Concern Categories

| Categories | | | | |
|--|-------|-----------|-------|--|
| Category | Min % | Default % | Max % | |
| Air quality emissions | 2 | 2 | 35 | |
| Aquatic habitat | 2 | 10 | 35 | |
| Concentrated erosion | 0 | 2 | 35 | |
| Degraded plant condition | 2 | 25 | 35 | |
| Field pesticide loss | 2 | 2 | 35 | |
| Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss | 2 | 2 | 35 | |
| Fire management | 0 | | 35 | |
| Inefficient energy use | 2 | 2 | 35 | |
| Livestock production limitation | 0 | | 35 | |
| Pest pressure | 2 | 10 | 35 | |
| Salt losses to water | 0 | | 35 | |
| Soil quality limitations | 2 | 2 | 35 | |
| Source water depletion | 2 | 2 | 35 | |
| Storage and handling of pollutants | 2 | 2 | 35 | |
| Terrestrial habitat | 2 | 35 | 35 | |
| Weather resilience | 2 | 2 | 35 | |
| Wind and water erosion | 2 | 2 | 35 | |

06-01-2020 Page 1 of 7

| Air quality emissions | | | | | |
|--|-------|-----------|-------|--|--|
| Resouce Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % | | |
| Emissions of airborne reactive nitrogen | 5 | 20 | 85 | | |
| Emissions of greenhouse gases - GHGs | 5 | 20 | 85 | | |
| Emissions of ozone precursors | 5 | 20 | 85 | | |
| Emissions of particulate matter (PM) and PM precursors | 5 | 20 | 85 | | |
| Objectionable odor | 0 | 20 | 80 | | |

| Aquatic habitat | | | | | |
|--|-------|-----------|-------|--|--|
| Resouce Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % | | |
| Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms | 5 | 50 | 100 | | |
| Elevated water temperature | 0 | 50 | 95 | | |

| Concentrated erosion | | | | | |
|--|-------|-----------|-------|--|--|
| Resouce Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % | | |
| Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels | 0 | 30 | 100 | | |
| Classic gully erosion | 0 | 35 | 100 | | |
| Ephemeral gully erosion | 0 | 35 | 100 | | |

| Degraded plant condition | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|--|--|
| Resouce Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % | | |
| Plant productivity and health | 5 | 60 | 95 | | |
| Plant structure and composition | 5 | 40 | 95 | | |

| Field pesticide loss | | | | | |
|---|-------|-----------|-------|--|--|
| Resouce Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % | | |
| Pesticides transported to groundwater | 5 | 50 | 95 | | |
| Pesticides transported to surface water | 5 | 50 | 95 | | |

| Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss | | | | | |
|---|-------|-----------|-------|--|--|
| Resouce Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % | | |
| Nutrients transported to groundwater | 5 | 15 | 80 | | |
| Nutrients transported to surface water | 5 | 25 | 80 | | |
| Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater | 5 | 15 | 80 | | |
| Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water | 5 | 25 | 80 | | |
| Sediment transported to surface water | 5 | 20 | 80 | | |

06-01-2020 Page 2 of 7

| Fire management | | | |
|---|-------|-----------|-------|
| Resouce Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation | 0 | 100 | 100 |

| Inefficient energy use | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-----------|-------|--|--|--|
| Resouce Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % | | | |
| Energy efficiency of equipment and facilities | 5 | 60 | 95 | | | |
| Energy efficiency of farming/ranching practices and field operations | 5 | 40 | 95 | | | |

| Livestock production limitation | | | | | |
|---|-------|-----------|-------|--|--|
| Resouce Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % | | |
| Feed and forage balance | 0 | 35 | 100 | | |
| Inadequate livestock shelter | 0 | 30 | 100 | | |
| Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution | 0 | 35 | 100 | | |

| Pest pressure | | | |
|---------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Resouce Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Plant pest pressure | 100 | 100 | 100 |

| Salt losses to water | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Resouce Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Salts transported to groundwater | 0 | 50 | 100 |
| Salts transported to surface water | 0 | 50 | 100 |

| Soil quality limitations | | | | |
|---|-------|-----------|-------|--|
| Resouce Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % | |
| Aggregate instability | 5 | 15 | 85 | |
| Compaction | 5 | 30 | 85 | |
| Concentration of salts or other chemicals | 0 | 10 | 80 | |
| Organic matter depletion | 5 | 30 | 85 | |
| Soil organism habitat loss or degradation | 5 | 15 | 85 | |
| Subsidence | 0 | | 80 | |

| Source water depletion | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|--|
| Resouce Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % | |
| Groundwater depletion | 5 | 10 | 90 | |
| Inefficient irrigation water use | 5 | 50 | 90 | |
| Surface water depletion | 5 | 40 | 90 | |

06-01-2020 Page 3 of 7

| Storage and handling of pollutants | | | |
|---|-------|-----------|-------|
| Resouce Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Nutrients transported to groundwater | 5 | 20 | 80 |
| Nutrients transported to surface water | 5 | 60 | 80 |
| Pesticides transported to surface water | 5 | 10 | 80 |
| Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to groundwater | 5 | 5 | 80 |
| Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to surface water | 5 | 5 | 80 |

| Terrestrial habitat | | | |
|--|-------|-----------|-------|
| Resouce Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % |
| Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates | 100 | 100 | 100 |

| Weather resilience | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|--|
| Resouce Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % | |
| Drifted snow | 0 | | 100 | |
| Naturally available moisture use | 0 | 20 | 100 | |
| Ponding and flooding | 0 | 20 | 100 | |
| Seasonal high water table | 0 | 60 | 100 | |
| Seeps | 0 | | 100 | |

| Wind and water erosion | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|--|
| Resouce Concern | Min % | Default % | Max % | |
| Sheet and rill erosion | 5 | 50 | 100 | |
| Wind erosion | 0 | 50 | 95 | |

Practices

| Practice | Practice Code | Practice Type |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Wildlife Habitat Planting | 420 | Р |
| Structures for Wildlife | 649 | Р |
| Brush Management | 314 | Р |
| Conservation Cover | 327 | Р |
| Prescribed Burning | 338 | Р |
| Critical Area Planting | 342 | Р |
| Fence | 382 | Р |
| Field Border | 386 | Р |
| Riparian Herbaceous Cover | 390 | Р |
| Riparian Forest Buffer | 391 | Р |

06-01-2020 Page 4 of 7

| Ranking Pool Re | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Practice | Practice Code | Practice Type |
| Aquatic Organism Passage | 396 | Р |
| Hedgerow Planting | 422 | Р |
| Access Control | 472 | Р |
| Mulching | 484 | Р |
| Tree/Shrub Site Preparation | 490 | Р |
| Obstruction Removal | 500 | Р |
| Access Road | 560 | Р |
| Streambank and Shoreline Protection | 580 | Р |
| Structure for Water Control | 587 | Р |
| Tree/Shrub Establishment | 612 | Р |
| Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities | 643 | Р |
| Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management | 644 | Р |
| Upland Wildlife Habitat Management | 645 | Р |
| Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt | 647 | Р |
| Forest Trails and Landings | 655 | Р |
| Tree/Shrub Pruning | 660 | Р |
| Forest Stand Improvement | 666 | Р |
| Stream Crossing | 578 | Р |
| Woody Residue Treatment | 384 | Р |
| Road/Trail/Landing Closure and Treatment | 654 | Р |
| Herbaceous Weed Treatment | 315 | Р |
| | | 1 |

Ranking Component Weights

| Category | Allowable Min | Default | Allowable Max |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------|---------------|
| Vulnerabilities | 25 | 25 | 40 |
| Planned Practice Effects | 20 | 20 | 35 |
| Resource Priorities | 5 | 25 | 25 |
| Program Priorities | 5 | 20 | 20 |
| Efficiencies | 10 | 10 | 10 |

Display Group: Accelerating the Pace of Conservation in SNEHF (Active)

1 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions RCPP SNEHF

06-01-2020 Page 5 of 7

| Section: Applicability Questions RCPP SNEHF | | | |
|--|----------------|--------|--|
| Question | Answer Choices | Points | |
| For this application, is the majority of the PLU located within the SNEHF project area of RI? Planners must use CD and verify that the | YES | | |
| PLU is contained in geospatial layer RI_SNEHF_Focus_Areas. | NO | | |

Survey: Category Questions RCPP SNEHF

| Section: Categogy Questions RCPP SNEHF | | | |
|---|-------------------|--|--|
| Question Answer Choices Points | | | |
| Select the District where the PLUs are located. | Northern District | | |
| Select the District where the PLOS are located. | Southern District | | |

Survey: Program Questions RCPP SNEHF

| Section: Program Questions RCPP SNEHF | | | |
|--|----------------|--------|--|
| Question | Answer Choices | Points | |
| If this application is approved for funding, will this be the applicant's first EQIP contract? | YES | 20 | |
| | NO | 0 | |
| Has the applicant successfully completed an NRCS contract in the past, according to the originally planned schedule? | YES | 20 | |
| | NO | 0 | |
| Is the property enrolled in RI's Farm, Forest and Open Space current use program? | YES | 40 | |
| | NO | 0 | |
| Does the property intersect the SNEHF Focus Area? | YES | 60 | |
| | NO | 0 | |
| Does the property intersect the SNEHF Conservation Priority Focus Area watershed? Use CD to verity that the PLU(s) intersect geospatial layer RI_SNEHF_Priority_Focus_Watershed. | YES | 60 | |
| | NO | 0 | |

Survey: Resource Questions RCPP SNEHF

| Section: Resource Questions RCPP SNEHF | | | |
|---|---|--------|--|
| Question | Answer Choices | Points | |
| Will the practices in this application address Forest Health through thinning (666) to meet objectives identified in the FMP? | YES | 30 | |
| | NO | 0 | |
| | N/A stand not overstocked | 0 | |
| Will the practices in this application address invasive species identified in the participant's Forest Management Plan where the plan identifies the potential for successful control and/or containment? | YES | 25 | |
| | NO | 0 | |
| | n/ano invasive plants identified or control is not feasible | 0 | |

06-01-2020 Page 6 of 7

| | <u>'</u> | Ralikiliy Fool Re | | |
|--|--|-------------------|--|--|
| Section: Resource Questions RCPP SNEHF | | | | |
| Question | Answer Choices | Points | | |
| Will the practices in this application result in an increase in diversity of forest age classes and forest structure in order to create habitat for birds? | YES | 55 | | |
| | NO | 0 | | |
| If large patch cuts are planned, does the FMP call out the retention of reserve trees within the boundary of the cut to provide a seed source and increase stand diversity and complexity over time? | YES | 30 | | |
| | NO | 0 | | |
| | N/A -No large patch cut or shelterwood planned | 0 | | |
| Does the Schedule of Operations include structures for wildlife such as brush piles, nest boxes and/or bat boxes? | YES | 25 | | |
| | NO | 0 | | |
| Will the practices in this contract benefit surrogate species or species of concern according to results obtained from the Southern New England Forest Mapper? Use link in guidance sheet to determine answer. | YES | 35 | | |
| | NO | 0 | | |

06-01-2020 Page 7 of 7